PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

R 03106	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)						
PCT/FR2004/001790	08.07.2004	16.07.2003						
<u>. </u>	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC							
C01B25/32, A61K9/20								
Applicant								
RHODIA CHIMIE								
	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	7 sheets, include	ling this cover sheet.						
3. This report is also accompanied by Al	NNEXES, comprising:							
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:						
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental							
	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and num	ther of electronic carrier(s))						
related thereto, in computer	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see							
Section 802 of the Administ	trative Instructions).	producing Dox Relating to Sequence Listing (see						
4. This report contains indications relati	ng to the following items:							
Box No. I Basis of the	report							
Box No. II Priority								
Box No. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with regard to novelty, inv							
		entive step and industrial applicability						
	ty of invention	entive step and industrial applicability						
Box No. IV Lack of unit Box No. V Reasoned st	ty of invention	entive step and industrial applicability ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;						
Box No. IV Lack of unit Box No. V Reasoned st citations and	ty of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard to no							
Box No. IV Lack of unit Box No. V Reasoned st citations and Box No. VI Certain doc	ty of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard to no d explanations supporting such statement							
Box No. IV Lack of unit Box No. V Reasoned st citations and Box No. VI Certain doc Box No. VII Certain defe	ty of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard to no d explanations supporting such statement uments cited							
Box No. IV Lack of unit Box No. V Reasoned st citations and Box No. VI Certain doc Box No. VII Certain defe	ty of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard to no d explanations supporting such statement numents cited ects in the international application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;						
Box No. IV Lack of unit Box No. V Reasoned st citations and Box No. VI Certain doc Box No. VII Certain defe	ty of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard to no d explanations supporting such statement numents cited ects in the international application ervations on the international application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;						
Box No. IV Lack of unit Box No. V Reasoned st citations and Box No. VI Certain doc Box No. VII Certain defe	ty of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard to no d explanations supporting such statement numents cited ects in the international application ervations on the international application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;						
Box No. IV Lack of unit Box No. V Reasoned st citations and Box No. VI Certain doc Box No. VII Certain defe	ty of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard to no d explanations supporting such statement tuments cited ects in the international application ervations on the international application Date of completion of	ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;						
Box No. IV Lack of unit Box No. V Reasoned st citations and Box No. VI Certain doc Box No. VII Certain defe	ty of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard to no d explanations supporting such statement tuments cited ects in the international application ervations on the international application Date of completion of	ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;						

Translation

`

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/FR2004/001790

Box	No. I	Basis of the report	<u> </u>				
1.		regard to the language, this report is based on the internation ated under this item.	nal application in the language in which it	was filed, unless otherwise			
		This report is based on translations from the original language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposition international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (Rule 12.4) international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/	oses of:	·			
2.	recei	regard to the elements of the international application, this ving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 an report): the international application as originally filed/furnished the description: pages 1-21	e referred to in this report as "originall				
		pages*					
		pages*		***			
	\boxtimes	the claims:	· · · · · · · ·				
		nos. <u>1-33</u>		as originally filed/furnished			
		nos.*	as amended (together with a	ny statement) under Article 19			
		nos.*	received by this Authority on				
		nos.*	received by this Authority on	-			
	\boxtimes	the drawings:					
		sheets 1/6-6/6		as originally filed/furnished			
		sheets*	received by this Authority on				
		sheets*	received by this Authority on				
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplem	ental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.				
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description, pages					
		the claims, nos.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	the drawings, sheets/figs						
		the sequence listing (specify):					
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	· -	-			
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amend they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi					
		the description, pages					
		the claims, nos.					
		the drawings, sheets/figs					
		the sequence listing (specify):					
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
*	* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/001790

Box			ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; poorting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	13, 17-21, 28-33	YES
		Claims	1-12, 14-16, 22-27	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-33	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-33	YES
		Claims		NO

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - D1: PONTIER C ET AL: "About the use of stoichiometric hydroxyapatite in compression incidence of manufacturing process on compressibility" EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICS AND BIOPHARMACEUTICS, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS B.V., AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 51, no. 3, May 2001 (2001-05), pages 249-257, XP004239486 ISSN: 0939-6411;
 - D2: ITOH, HIDEAKI ET AL: "A new porous hydroxyapatite ceramic prepared by cold isostatic pressing and sintering synthesized flaky powder" DENTAL MATERIALS JOURNAL (1994), 13(1), 25-35, 1994, XP008027708;
 - D3: WO 02/089775 A (ETHYPHARM; CHENEVIER, PHILIPPE)

 14 November 2002 (2002-11-14).

1 - Clarity:

In claims 6 and 8, the use of the term "good" to characterise flow and compressibility properties,

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/001790

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

respectively, does not enable the subject matter of said claims to be unambiguously delimited in relation to the prior art (PCT Article 6).

2 - Novelty:

D1 discloses a study on the influence of the various parameters of a stoichiometric hydroxyapatite on its compressibility (calcining, dry or wet granulation and granule size) with a view to its use as a carrier in tablets produced by means of direct compression.

The study was carried out on two categories of mean granule sizes, namely 200 microns and 400 microns. Moreover, D1 specifies that the mean size of 200 microns is the one most commonly used in direct compression (see D1, page 250, table 1 and paragraph 1, right-hand column).

In figure 4 on page 253, the particle size distribution curves are illustrated by a solid line and a broken line for the "200 micron" and "400 micron" batches, respectively.

The solid line demonstrates that 90% of the particles are smaller than 300 microns and that more than 90% of said particles are larger than 10 microns.

Moreover, the median diameter D50 is 185 to 225 microns (D1, page 253, right-hand column,

PCT/FR2004/001790

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

paragraph 1).

The specific surface area of the "200 micron" batches is 10 to 100 m^2/g (see D1, page 253, table 2).

It follows that the subject matter of claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 11, 24, 25, 26 and 27 is not novel over D1 (PCT Article 33(2)).

D2 discloses a method for preparing hydroxyapatite (HAp) from brushite by means of two-step hydrolytic conversion and using a basic solution (for example, NH4OH). The first step in this method produces a non-stoichiometric HAp and the second step produces the stoichiometric material. This method is based on the conventional single-step method and is intended to enhance productivity (D2, page 4247, right-hand column).

Figure 6-Al on page 4250 shows the brushite that is used. In view of the scale provided, it appears that the particle size of this material complies with the conditions disclosed in the subject matter of claim 12.

The reaction pH can be adjusted using NH4OH.

What is more, the reaction pH is between 7 and 8.5 for the conversion into HAp and the temperature is between 40 and 80°C (D2, page 4248, left-hand

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/001790

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

column).

Figure 2 on page 4248 shows that, in step 1 of the method and for a pH of 9 and a temperature of 80°C, it is possible to produce HAp that has a Ca/P ratio tending towards 1.6.

The material produced in step 1 is filtered, washed and dried at 80°C (D2, page 4247, right-hand column).

As a result, the subject matter of claims 1-12, 14-16 and 22-24 is not novel over D2 (PCT Article 33(2)).

3 - Inventive step

3.1 Claims 13 and 17-21:

The technical features disclosed in claims 17 to 21 are all alternatives based on the method disclosed in D2 and would constitute a routine technical step for a person skilled in the art aware of said document.

As a result, the subject matter of claims 17 to 21 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/001790

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

3.2 Claims 28-33:

The features disclosed in claims 28-30 are conventional in the production of such tablets. What is more, the properties mentioned in claims 32 and 33, whether they be mechanical (low friability, for example, < 1%) or relate to dissolution kinetics (high disintegration rate, for example, < 60 seconds), are desirable criteria in this field and a person skilled in the art knows how to arrive at such desired properties by adding specific additives to the granules in the mixture (see for example, D3, page 8, lines 19-26; page 12, lines 12-17; page 13, lines 10-18; and page 16, lines 18-30).

It follows that the subject matter of claims 28 to 33 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).